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#### Abstract

The crystallographic study of the tungsten phosphate bronze $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}$ was performed from three-dimensional single-crystal X-ray diffraction data and electron microscopy. This compound crystallizes in the orthorhombic system with unit-cell dimensions $a=5.312$ (1), $b=6.5557$ ( 8 ) , $c=42.196$ ( 8 ) $\AA$ and space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. The crystal structure was solved by direct methods and Fourier techniques, and refined to the reliability factor $R=0.0284$ ( $\omega R=0.0272$ ). Its determination confirms that it belongs to the monophosphate tungsten bronze family of the general formula $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{2 m}$ with the value $m=12$. Large empty cages surrounded by 18 O atoms are built up of eight $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra and four $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra sharing corners. They are located between two $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slabs forming pentagonal-shaped tunnels running in the a direction. Electron microscopy investigations confirm that the studied crystal does not imply modulation phenomena when other crystals of the same composition exhibit satellite reflections with a modulation vector $\mathbf{q}^{*}$ involving a doubling of $\mathbf{a}$. The observations also reveal the existence of a monoclinic form of the $m=12$ compound, which is a regular intergrowth of $m=11$ and $m=13$ members in a similar way to the $m=5$ member of the series where the same feature has already been observed. On each edge of a $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slab, a large variation (from 1.73 to $2.09 \AA$ ) of the six $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ distances within the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedron is noted, which yields an oxidation state of W near 6 , whereas for the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra located in the middle part of the slab the six $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are gathered about their mean value ( $1.92 \AA$ ), which involves a more important electronic delocalization. The thermal motion of the W atoms is described. The absolute structural configuration is tested on the basis of some calculated structure factors, which are more sensitive to the $x, y, z$ $\rightarrow-x,-y,-z$ change of atomic positions.


## 1. Introduction

The monophosphate tungsten bronze series of the general formula $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{2 m}$ has been the focus of extensive investigation this last decade, because of
unusual electronic instabilities which vary with the value of $m$ (Hess et al., 1996; Schlenker et al., 1996). The early members, with $m<7$ ( $m=4,6$ ), undergo a Peierls transition at low temperature ( $T<100 \mathrm{~K}$ ) towards a charge-density wave state (CDW) characterized by a sinusoidal modulation (Foury \& Pouget, 1993). Magnetoresistivity and magnetic torque measurements have provided information on the size of the pockets of electrons and holes left on the Fermi surface by the CDW gap opening (Le Touze et al., 1995). For large values of $m(8 \leq m \leq 14)$ a rich variety of commensurate and incommensurate modulations is observed with high transition temperatures ( $T>500 \mathrm{~K}$ ) (Ottolenghi \& Pouget, 1996).

The mechanism at the origin of such instabilities is not clear. From a theoretical point of view, the difference between the low ( $m=4,6$ ) and high ( $8 \leq m \leq 14$ ) members leads one to question (a) how the conducting electrons are distributed inside the $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slabs; (b) how the coupling phenomenon evolves in the series; (c) how $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type antiferroelectric instabilities can interact with CDW instabilities for $m \leq 14$. In order to answer these questions accurate single-crystal X-ray data are required for band structure calculations. However, this information is not readily available for high $m$ values ( $m>8$ ). Up to now, only the crystal structures of low $m$ members have been resolved (Giroult et al., 1981; Labbé et al., 1986; Roussel et al., 1996). Following on from these studies, the hidden nesting Fermi-surface concept has been suggested to understand the transport and X-ray diffuse scattering studies of the first members, $m=4,6$ (Canadell \& Whangbo, 1991).

In this paper we report on the structure of the $m=12$ member of the series. According to X-ray diffuse scattering measurements performed between 15 and 650 K (Ottolenghi \& Pouget, 1996), the title phase undergoes two Peierls transitions at temperatures of 535 and 500 K , with the appearance of satellite reflections corresponding to modulation wavevectors $\mathbf{q}_{1}^{*}(0.12,0,0)$ and $\mathbf{q}_{2}^{*}(0.5,0,0.5)$, respectively. Superlattice spots with components close to $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)$ can also be observed on electron diffraction patterns ([001] zone axis) at 150 K ; these spots disappear at 540 K (Yan et al., 1995). However, another set of satellite spots was observed

Table 1. Experimental details
Crystal data

| Chemical formula | $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Chemical formula weight | 5816.2 |
| Cell setting | Orthorhombic |
| Space group | $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ |
| $a(\mathrm{~A})$ | 5.312 (1) |
| $b$ (A) | 6.5557 (8) |
| $c$ ( ${ }_{\text {A }}$ ) | 42.196 (8) |
| $V\left(\AA^{3}\right)$ | 1469.5 (8) |
| Z | 1 |
| $D_{x}\left(\mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}\right)$ | 6.572 (4) |
| Radiation type | Mo K $\alpha$ |
| Wavelength (A) | 0.71073 |
| No. of reflections for cell parameters | 25 |
| $\theta$ range ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | 18-24 |
| $\mu\left(\mathrm{mm}^{-1}\right)$ | 48.184 |
| Temperature (K) | 295 |
| Crystal form | Platelet delimited by (010), (110) and (001) |
| Crystal size (mm) | $0.125 \times 0.069 \times 0.003$ |
| Crystal colour | Dark blue |
| Data collection |  |
| Diffractometer | CAD-4 |
| Data collection method | $\omega-\theta / 2$ scans |
| Scan ( ${ }^{\circ}$ ) | $1+0.35 \tan \theta$ |
| Absorption correction | Gaussian |
| $T_{\text {min }}$ | 0.2509 |
| $T_{\text {max }}$ | 0.8680 |
| No. of measured reflections | 6858 |
| No. of independent reflections | 6858 |
| No. of observed reflections | 1202 |
| Criterion for observed reflections | $I>3 \sigma(I)$ |
| $\theta_{\text {max }}\left({ }^{\circ}\right.$ ) | 45 |
| Range of $h, k, l$ | $0 \rightarrow h \rightarrow 10$ |
|  | $0 \rightarrow k \rightarrow 13$ |
|  | $-82 \rightarrow l \rightarrow 0$ |
| No. of standard reflections | 3 |
| Frequency of standard reflections (min) | 60 |
| Intensity decay (\%) | 7 |
| Refinement |  |
| Refinement on | $F$ |
| $R$ | 0.0284 |
| $w R$ | 0.0272 |
| $S$ | 1.80 |
| No. of reflections used in refinement | 1202 |
| No. of parameters used | 140 |
| Weighting scheme | $w=1 / \sigma^{2}\left(F_{o}\right)$ |
| $(\Delta / \sigma)_{\text {max }}$ 。 | 0.0007 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {max }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | 2.81 |
| $\Delta \rho_{\text {min }}\left(\mathrm{e} \AA^{-3}\right)$ | -1.84 |
| Extinction method | Isotropic secondary, type II <br> (Becker \& Coppens, 1974) |
| Extinction coefficient | 0.49 (6) |

around the strong main spots of the perovskite $\mathrm{WO}_{3^{-}}$ type slabs, with components of approximately ( 0.23 , $0.19,0)$. The origin of this second set of satellite spots, which are still observed at 540 K , is unclear at present. It should be noted that results are not always reproducible from one sample to the next, as indicated from d.c.
resistivity measurements (Hess, 1997). This suggests that the CDW state is either a metastable state or a state which is very sensitive to defects created in the samples. Previous results are compatible with the present detailed structural investigation of two $m=12$ single crystals. Since no satellite reflections have been found in these phases, it is more than likely that they are in the conducting normal state.

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1. Crystal growth

Plate-like blue crystals of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}(m=12)$ were prepared by the chemical vapour transport technique using the following procedure. A mixture of $\left(\mathrm{NH}_{4}\right)_{2} \mathrm{HPO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ was first heated at 873 K in air for 12 h to decompose the ammonium phosphate. An appropriate amount of metallic tungsten in powder form was then added before the mixture was heated in an evacuated silica ampoule at 1273 K for 2 d . A portion of $\sim 2 \mathrm{~g}$ of the resulting polycrystalline sample was subsequently taken for crystal growth.

Since the objective of the experiment was the preparation of single crystals of large enough size for physical measurements, crystal growth was carried out in a quartz tube 20 cm long and 1.8 cm internal diameter, which was sealed under vacuum. The tube was introduced in a horizontal furnace and a temperature gradient of $\sim 10 \mathrm{~K} \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ was applied along its length, i.e. the hot zone $=1473 \mathrm{~K}$ and the cold zone $=1273 \mathrm{~K}$. The samples were held under these conditions for 2 weeks. Plate-like blue crystals grew in the intermediate zone of the tube.

### 2.2. Electron microscopy study

In order to characterize the title phase by electron microscopy, crystals from various preparations were gently crushed in an agate mortar in $n$-butanol and deposited on a perforated carbon-coated copper grid. The first single crystal to be studied by X-ray diffraction was individually crushed so that various fragments of this crystal could be studied separately from other crystals. Electron diffraction (ED) was carried out on a JEM200CX equipped with a tilting rotating goniometer ( $\pm 60^{\circ}$ ) in order to perform reconstruction of the reciprocal space. High-resolution studies were conducted using a TOPCON 2B electron microscope equipped with a $\pm 10^{\circ}$ double tilt goniometer and an objective lens with a spherical aberration constant of 0.4 mm . Image simulations were performed using the multislice method with an EMS package.

### 2.3. Data collection and processing

The quality of the samples was checked using a Weissenberg camera. For this, numerous crystals were
glued to the tip of a Lindemann capillary. It was found that only two crystals were of a sufficient quality for further investigation. Measurement of the parameters at the zero level ( $a \simeq 5.3, b \simeq 6.5, c \simeq 42.1 \AA$ ) were consistent with the $m=12$ member of the series. However, no satellite reflections were observed on films rotated about [100], even after long exposure to the Xrays. Although some spots appeared elongated or as doublets, particularly at high $\theta$ and for high $h$ levels, no evidence of a twin was found. Furthermore, no significant deviation from $90^{\circ}$ appeared for the three lattice angles. It was, therefore, concluded that the symmetry of the title phase is orthorhombic, with only the following systematic conditions limiting the observed reflections: $h 00: h=2 n, 0 k 0: k=2 n$ and $00 l: l=2 n$, in accordance with the space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$. This space group has also been


Fig. 1. $\psi$ scan between 0 and $360^{\circ}$ for the 600 reflection before and after absorption correction.


Fig. 2. Projection along a of the polyhedral framework showing pentagonal tunnels. The octahedra of the chain noted $W(1)-W(6)$ are approximately at the same level, $x$, and are all tilted in the same sense. In the perpendicular direction the number of black octahedra corresponds to the $m=12$ value.

Table 2. Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters $\left(\AA^{2}\right)$

|  |  | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | $y$ | $z$ | $B$ |
| $\mathrm{~W}(1)$ | $0.2431(7)$ | $0.4272(2)$ | $0.69885(3)$ | $0.58(2) \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)$ | $0.251(1)$ | $0.0996(2)$ | $0.62523(3)$ | $0.62(2) \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)$ | $0.2526(8)$ | $0.7578(2)$ | $0.55324(3)$ | $0.77(2) \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(4)$ | $0.2622(6)$ | $0.4137(3)$ | $0.48215(3)$ | $0.67(2) \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(5)$ | $0.7626(7)$ | $0.4299(2)$ | $0.58941(3)$ | $0.68(3) \dagger$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(6)$ | $0.7592(6)$ | $0.7693(2)$ | $0.66258(3)$ | $0.59(2) \dagger$ |
| P | $0.762(3)$ | $0.1144(8)$ | $0.7243(1)$ | 0.3 |
| $\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $0.73(1)$ | $0.058(3)$ | $0.7590(5)$ | $1.3(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $0.547(7)$ | $0.263(5)$ | $0.7170(8)$ | $1.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $0.025(5)$ | $0.211(4)$ | $0.7193(7)$ | $1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $-0.022(5)$ | $0.566(4)$ | $0.6888(6)$ | $0.7(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $0.477(5)$ | $0.609(4)$ | $0.6837(6)$ | $0.6(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $0.26(1)$ | $0.258(3)$ | $0.6649(5)$ | $1.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $0.537(6)$ | $0.946(4)$ | $0.6466(7)$ | $1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $1.038(6)$ | $0.902(5)$ | $0.6487(7)$ | $1.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $0.469(6)$ | $0.269(4)$ | $0.6064(6)$ | $1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $-0.036(5)$ | $0.244(3)$ | $0.6166(5)$ | $0.2(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $0.269(8)$ | $-0.066(3)$ | $0.5920(4)$ | $0.9(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $0.547(6)$ | $0.626(4)$ | $0.5735(7)$ | $1.1(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $1.043(6)$ | $0.564(4)$ | $0.5744(6)$ | $0.9(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $0.475(6)$ | $0.935(4)$ | $0.5321(5)$ | $1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $-0.037(5)$ | $0.898(4)$ | $0.5412(5)$ | $0.4(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(16)$ | $0.233(6)$ | $0.591(3)$ | $0.5181(4)$ | $0.3(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(17)$ | $0.542(5)$ | $0.281(3)$ | $0.4988(6)$ | $0.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(18)$ | $0.772(7)$ | $0.256(4)$ | $0.5556(6)$ | $1.1(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(19)$ | $0.778(7)$ | $0.582(4)$ | $0.6302(5)$ | $1.0(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}(20)$ | $0.726(7)$ | $-0.086(3)$ | $0.7044(5)$ | $1.1(3)$ |

$\dagger B_{\mathrm{eq}}=\left(8 \pi^{2} / 3\right) \Sigma_{i} \Sigma_{j} U^{i j} a^{i} a^{j} \mathbf{a}_{i} . \mathbf{a}_{j}$.
adopted for all other previously studied $m$-even members, except $m=2$, i.e. $\mathrm{WPO}_{5}$ (Wang et al., 1989). In this case the space group is $P 2{ }_{1} c n$ if $c$ is the largest lattice parameter and $a$ and $b$ remain unchanged. The diffracted intensities were collected at room temperature on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer using Mo $K \alpha$ radiation for both samples. The detailed recording conditions for the second crystal are given in Table $1 \dagger$.

During data reduction of the first crystal, a great number of dubious reflections (1127/25499 measurements), with a position which deviated from that expected, were rejected. The measured intensities of four symmetrically equivalent reflections were corrected for scale variation based upon standards, and Lorentz and polarization effects. A Gaussian-type absorption correction based on the crystal morphology was then applied. The internal reliability factor $R_{\text {int }}=\Sigma_{i} \Sigma_{j}\left(I_{j}-\right.$ $\left.\bar{I}_{j}\right) / \bar{I}_{i}$, which is related to the $m m m$ Laue symmetry, was calculated; values of 0.038 , before correction for absorption, and 0.023 , after correction, were obtained and, thus, provide further evidence for orthorhombic symmetry.

[^0]All refinements were carried out using the JANA96 program package (Petricek \& Dusek, 1997). Scattering factors for neutral atoms and the correction terms for anomalous dispersion were taken from Maslen et al. (1992) and Creagh \& McAuley (1992), respectively. Atomic parameters, scale factors and isotropic extinction coefficients (Becker \& Coppens, 1974) were refined in the full-matrix mode, minimizing the function $w R$. A weighting scheme based on $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ was used.

The space group $P 2_{1} 2_{1} 2_{1}$ (no. 19) was found to be compatible with the observed extinction rules. All the W and P atoms were then located with the SIR92 directmethods program (Altomare et al., 1994). The oxygen positions, all except one, were determined from differ-ence-Fourier maps. From previous structural studies of members with lower $m$ values, the host lattice of the $m=12$ member could be estimated approximately. Visualization of this approximate structure permitted the remaining oxygen to be located. The atomic refinement then rapidly converged to encouraging values ( $R=$ 0.05 and $w R=0.04$ ).

However, some of the results were not completely satisfactory. In particular, the thermal $B$ factor of the W atoms remains rather high compared with values found in the crystal structures of other members of the series. This was assumed to be attributable, at least in part, to the high value of the $c$ parameter $(c=42.1 \mathrm{~A})$ and, consequently, to possible peak-overlap phenomena.

In order to obtain more information on the structure, data collection of the second crystal was considered. Despite its smaller size, and hence a reduced number of data points [1202 hkl with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ rather than 1952], this crystal produced finer spots on Weissenberg films; it was therefore supposed that its reflections may be easier to isolate than those of the larger crystal. Particular attention was paid to adjusting the parameters of the


Fig. 3. View of the $\mathrm{O}_{18}$ cages along a direction inclined at $10^{\circ}$ from $\mathbf{a}$. The 18 O atoms are represented by black points. Light shaded areas are $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedral faces and dark shaded areas are $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedral faces. The different $\mathrm{O}_{18}$ cages are stacked in the ( $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ ) plane and are bound by the four pentagonal windows.
data collection, especially the scan angle, in order to avoid peak-superposition effects. Only one independent space was registered. The size of the crystal plate was determined from an ocular-scaled microscope, taking special care to accurately measure the crystal thickness. The measured shape and dimensions of the crystal were checked by applying an absorption correction to a series of $\psi$ scans taken for 25 reflections. Application of the absorption correction resulted in a decrease of the reliability factor by approximately 0.07 , which supports the accurate determination of the morphology of the sample (the effect of correction for absorption on the 600 reflection is given as an example in Fig. 1). The previously observed anomalies disappeared after correction for absorption, but the thermal factor of the P atom always refined to a value close to zero. Final atomic positions are shown in Table 2.

## 3. Discussion

### 3.1. Description of the crystal structure

As expected, the crystal structure of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}$ is similar to other members of the $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{2 m}$ family,


Fig. 4. Two characteristic ED patterns which should show satellites if there were any: $h 0 l$ and $h 2 h l$. For this crystal, no satellite can be seen.
and, in particular, has a polyhedral arrangement closely related to that of the $m$-even members of the series, i.e. $m=2,4,6$ and 8 . As seen from Fig. 2, the structure is formed by the regular stacking of identical slabs of $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type octahedra along $\mathbf{c}$, which are linked to each other by $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra arranged in slices parallel to the slabs. Each slab is built up of chains of six corner-sharing $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra [W(1)-W(6) in Fig. 2], which cornershare with four analogous chains of the same length running in the same directions, [092] or [092]], since two successive slabs are related with a binary screw axis. Within a chain, all the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra are tilted in the same sense in such a way that the edges of two consecutive $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra make an angle of $\sim 16(1)^{\circ}$ along a, while no tilting is observed around the other axes of the octahedra, as previously observed for $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{50}$ (Roussel et al., 1996).

At the end of each chain, the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra share three corners with tetrahedra within the slab and the other corner with the adjacent slab, so that between $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ slabs pentagonal-shaped tunnels form which run parallel to the a axis (Fig. 2), hence the generic name given to the series, MonoPhosphate Tungsten Bronzes with pentagonal tunnels $\left(\mathrm{MPTB}_{p}\right)$. However, this


Fig. 5. ED patterns showing diffuse satellites which involve a doubling of the a parameter.
description is only partial. Quite large cages of similar size are found between layers which are linked to each other by pentagonal 'windows'; the orthogonal projection in the (b, c) plane of these pentagonal cross sections is shown in Fig. 2. A cage is built up of eight $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra and four $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra and is surrounded by 18 O atoms. The O atoms form eight windows between two adjacent $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slabs; four of these windows are diamond-shaped and four pentagonally shaped (Fig. 3). Equivalent cages are connected to each other through these pentagonal windows at different levels along a. From the centre of an O18 cage, distances to the O atoms range from 2.34 to 3.67 A . It may therefore be quite possible to insert small cations (e.g. $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}$ etc.) in these cages. The syntheses of such new phases are now in progress. In the present work it has been verified that no significant electron density occurs in the $\mathrm{O}_{18}$ cages.

### 3.2. Electron microscopy study

Preliminary studies of several crystals by electron microscopy confirmed how difficult it is to stabilize


Fig. 6. [100] ED patterns of a crystal showing monoclinic symmetry: $\alpha=$ $93^{\circ}$. The $h 2 h l$ ED pattern also shows diffuse satellite involving a doubling of the a parameter.
almost perfect crystals of high members of the MPTB ${ }_{p}$ family. Many fragments were identified as undesired high- $m$ members ( $m=10$ or 11) or as an irregular intergrowth of high members, as indicated by the formation of diffuse streaks parallel to the $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ axis. Some well crystallized $m=12$ fragments were also characterized.

Electron diffraction results on the first single crystal were in agreement with those of the X-ray investigation. Again, no satellite reflections were observed, as can be seen in the ED patterns in Fig. 4. In order to carry out a complete characterization by electron diffraction, either reconstruction of the reciprocal space was performed or several crystallographic planes were directly observed for each fragment. It was observed that satellite reflections could be seen in different crystal fragments, the intensities of which varied from almost invisible to rather bright; these satellite reflections were always accompanied with diffusion phenomena (Fig. 5). If obviously these satellites result from a doubling of the a
parameter, it is impossible to characterize the modulation vector along the $\mathbf{c}$ axis as a consequence of this diffusion. The modulation vector is expected to be half the length of the reciprocal parameter, as previously shown from diffuse X-ray scattering measurements (Ottolenghi \& Pouget, 1996). Similar results have been reported elsewhere (Yan et al., 1995) for a modulated $m=12$ sample doped with Ge . In this case the presence of discommensurations (DC) observed directly by darkfield TEM (transmission electron microscopy) suggests that the components of the modulation wavevector are not exactly $\left(\frac{1}{2}, 0,0\right)$, but $\left(\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon, 0,0\right)$, with $0<\varepsilon \leq 0.02$. Another interesting result was deduced from electron diffraction observations of a crystal which showed satellites. This had monoclinic symmetry (Fig. 6), but with parameters close to those of the $m=12$ structure. The monoclinic distortion is observed between the $\mathbf{b}$ and c parameters, with an $\alpha$ angle close to $93^{\circ}$ (Fig. 6). This could be interpreted as a monoclinic $m=12$ term, i.e. the regular intergrowth of $m=11$ and $m=13$ terms, in a


Fig. 7. [100] HREM images taken for two typical focus values. On the lower left part are inset calculated images with the following parameters: high-voltage $V=200 \mathrm{kV}$, spherical aberration constant $C s=$ 0.4 mm , divergence half-angle $\alpha=$ 0.85 mrad , spread of focus 10 nm , crystal thickness $T=3.2 \mathrm{~nm}$.
similar way to the fifth member of the family, which also has monoclinic symmetry and forms by the regular intergrowth of $m=4$ and $m=6$ members (Benmoussa et al., 1982). In fact, this latter intergrowth is quite irregular and weak diffuse streaks can also be observed parallel to the $\mathbf{c}^{*}$ axis. Unfortunately, such crystals could not be characterized by high-resolution electron microscopy, but geometric considerations allow us to calculate the theoretical parameters of such a regular intergrowth: when two slabs with a different width ( $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ ) are intergrown in a regular manner, described by the following formulation, $\quad\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{m}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)-$ $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{m^{\prime}}\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)$, the new periodicity $\left(d_{001}\right)$ corresponds to the width of both slabs measured perpendicularly to the $(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ plane

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{001} & =c_{m} / 2+c_{m}^{\prime} / 2 \\
& =\left[(m / 2) a_{p} \cos 35.3+K\right]\left[(m / 2) a_{p} \cos 35.3+K\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $a_{p}=3.8 \AA, K=2.45 \AA$ (' $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ ' width) and $35.3^{\circ}$ corresponds to the inclination of the ' $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ ' links from the ideal perovskite.

Since $m$ and $m^{\prime}$ are different, the resulting cell will show monoclinic symmetry whose parameters can be approximated by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tan \alpha_{t h} \simeq & \left\{\left[\left(m+m^{\prime}\right) / 2\right] a_{p} \cos 35.3+2 K\right\} / \\
& \left\{\left[\left(m^{\prime}-m\right) / p\right] a_{p} \cos 35.3\right\} \\
c_{t h} \simeq & \left\{\left[\left(m+m^{\prime}\right) / 2\right] a_{p} \cos 35.3+2 K\right\} / \sin \alpha_{t h} \\
a_{t h} \simeq & a_{p}(2)^{1 / 2} \\
b_{t h} \simeq & a_{p}(3)^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In our case we obtain approximate parameters $a \simeq 5.4$, $b \simeq 6.6, c \simeq 42.2 \AA$ and $\alpha \simeq 93^{\circ} ;$ these agree closely with our observations. It would thus appear that a regular $m=11-m=13$ intergrowth can be stabilized.

High-resolution images usually show a regular contrast in the $m=12$ orthorhombic structure. Fig. 7 shows two [100] images with a typical focus value. On the 30 nm focus micrograph, high electron density zones are highlighted such that bright ribbons are related to W and O atoms in the equatorial plane of the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra and the big bright dots in zigzag formation parallel to the $\mathbf{b}$ direction correspond to the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra. The large dark dots in a zigzag arrangement parallel to $\mathbf{b}$ are related to the pentagonal tunnels and the ribbon of dark dots corresponds to the oxygen apices of the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra. In the -30 nm focus micrograph low electron density zones are highlighted: the bright ribbons correspond to the perovskite-type tunnels and the oxygen apices of the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra, and the large dark dots which adopt a zigzag arrangement are the W atoms. On slightly thicker areas, bright dots are resolved better and relate only to the oxygen apices of the $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra which lie between two rows of bright zigzags corresponding to the O atoms of the phosphate groups.
A rather new feature seen here is the frequent occurrence of intergrowth defects with another member of the $\mathrm{MPTB}_{h}$ family (Fig. 8). On some areas of the crystal, and near its edge, modulations in the contrast are observed in the middle of the perovskite slabs. Compared with previous observations in the MPTB ${ }_{h}$ series, it is most probable that the bright contrast can be related to an extra monophosphate plane forming hexagonal tunnels. This extra plane does not induce a


Fig. 8. [100] HREM image of another region of the previous crystal showing modulation of the contrast inside the perovskite slab (arrowed). P and $\mathbf{H}$, respectively, indicate pentagonal- and hexagonal-type tunnels.
change in the direction of the octahedron ribbon. However, this feature is not widespread and it is probable that the extra monophosphate planes do not run along the entire thickness of the slab. Indeed, it has been shown in previous studies of the $\mathrm{MPTB}_{p}$ series that rows of monophosphate planes forming hexagonal tunnels can easily stop inside a perovskite slab along both a and b directions (Domengès et al., 1984). This phenomenon should not greatly affect the physical macroscopic properties of the compound.

## 3.3. $W$ and $P$ atoms

Inside each of the six $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra of a chain in a slab, the W atom is displaced from the centre of its octahedron. Moving along a chain, the W atom is displaced from one edge of a chain to the other, towards the middle part of the slab; this displacement is greater for $\mathrm{W}(1)$ and $\mathrm{W}(6)(\delta \simeq 0.25 \AA)$ near the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra than for $\mathrm{W}(4)(\delta \simeq 0.05 \AA)$ in the middle of a slab. Short and long bonds alternate along the length of a chain, and are $\sim 1.75-1.85 \AA$ for the shortest distances and $2.00-$ $2.10 \AA$ for the longest. In a perpendicular plane (Fig. 9) various interatomic $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ chains can be seen; these are either linear or in a zigzag formation, but in both cases the chains are composed of alternate short and long $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ bonds. The dispersion of the six $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ distances in each octahedron (Fig. 10 and Table 3) is also exhibited by the $m=6,7$ and 8 members of the series (Roussel et al., 1996) with a mean value of $1.92 \AA$. In the centre of the slabs the six $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}$ distances are close to the mean distance. On the edges of the slabs, however, the large variation of the $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}$ and $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}$ distances involves a $3+3$ split of the W environment into short and long bonds. This distortion becomes progressively greater on moving from the central octahedra to the edges. The atomic position of $O(4)$ has been verified. However, it is notable that the $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ distance is slightly short $(1.73 \AA)$ and the $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ slightly long, albeit with a greater value of standard uncertainty


Fig. 9. $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ chains showing alternative long and short interatomic distances ( $\AA$ ).

Table 3. Main interatomic distances $(\AA)$

| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.98(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $1.92(3)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $2.08(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $1.91(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $2.02(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}(16)$ | $1.92(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $1.73(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | $1.86(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $1.84(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}(17)$ | $1.91(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(1)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.81(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(4)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ | $1.94(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)-\mathrm{O}(6)$ | $1.97(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(5)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $2.02(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $2.03(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(5)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $1.98(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $1.98(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(5)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $1.85(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)-\mathrm{O}(9)$ | $1.79(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(5)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $1.84(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)-\mathrm{O}(10)$ | $1.83(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(5)-\mathrm{O}(18)$ | $1.83(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $1.78(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(5)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ | $1.99(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)-\mathrm{O}(11)$ | $2.00(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(4)$ | $2.09(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)-\mathrm{O}(12)$ | $1.98(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ | $2.03(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)-\mathrm{O}(13)$ | $1.91(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(7)$ | $1.78(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)-\mathrm{O}(14)$ | $1.88(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(8)$ | $1.81(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)-\mathrm{O}(15)$ | $1.86(3)$ | $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(19)$ | $1.84(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)-\mathrm{O}(16)$ | $1.84(2)$ | $\mathrm{W}(6)-\mathrm{O}(20)$ | $2.01(2)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(1)$ | $1.52(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(3)$ | $1.55(3)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(2)$ | $1.53(3)$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{O}(20)$ | $1.57(2)$ |

$(0.03 \AA)$. These values do not permit a meaningful calculation of the oxidation state of the six independent W atoms. For the W atoms on the edges of the $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$ slabs which show a pronounced dispersion of $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ distances, we can verify that the mean oxidation state is near 6 . In contrast, in the middle part of the slab, where the six $\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are all close to the mean value ( $1.92 \AA$ ), it is probable that the bonds have a more covalent character resulting from orbital overlaps, and thus electronic delocalization will be more prominent. Note that, although the central octahedra appear almost regular, they are, in fact, slightly distorted. This can be seen more clearly in Fig. 11 where the $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distances are distributed about their mean value $2.7 \AA$.

The displacement of the W atoms does not seem to influence their thermal motion, as shown from the tensor components of their thermal vibration para-


Fig. 10. Dispersion of the W-O distances observed for $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}$ in a chain of six $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra. $\mathrm{W}(4) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is just in the middle of a slab, $\mathrm{W}(6) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is bound to one $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedron, whereas $\mathrm{W}(1) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ is connected to three $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra.

Table 4. $W$ thermal motion analysis
$U^{1}, U^{2}$ and $U^{3}$ are the mean squared displacement along the three axes of the ellipsoid. $\varphi_{x}, \varphi_{y}$ and $\varphi_{z}$ are the angles of the long axis with respect to $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}$ and $\mathbf{c}$.

|  | $U^{11}$ | $U^{22}$ | $U^{33}$ | $U^{12}$ | $U^{13}$ | $U^{23}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{~W}(1)$ | $0.0084(4)$ | $0.0081(2)$ | $0.005773(5)$ | $0.0055(8)$ | $-0.0043(1)$ | $-0.00256(3)$ |
|  | $U^{1}=0.016 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{2}=0.002 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{3}=0.004 \AA^{2}$ | $\varphi_{x}=50^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{y}=50^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{z}=120^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(2)$ | $0.0092(5)$ | $0.0075(2)$ | $0.007036(5)$ | $0.0045(9)$ | $-0.0056(1)$ | $-0.00156(3)$ |
|  | $U^{1}=0.016 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{2}=0.002 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{3}=0.006 \AA^{2}$ | $\varphi_{x}=40^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{y}=60^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{z}=120^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(3)$ | $0.0110(5)$ | $0.0099(2)$ | $0.008389(5)$ | $-0.002(1)$ | $0.0042(2)$ | $-0.00233(2)$ |
|  | $U^{1}=0.016 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{2}=0.005 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{3}=0.009 \AA^{2}$ | $\varphi_{x}=50^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{y}=120^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{z}=60^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(4)$ | $0.0051(5)$ | $0.0115(2)$ | $0.008840(5)$ | $0.0011(6)$ | $-0.00503(9)$ | $-0.00196(3)$ |
|  | $U^{1}=0.014 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{2}=0.002 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{3}=0.01 \AA^{2}$ | $\varphi_{x}=60^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{y}=50^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{z}=130^{\circ}$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(5)$ | $0.0099(7)$ | $0.0088(2)$ |  | $0.007397(6)$ | $-0.0026(6)$ | $0.00597(9)$ |
| $\mathrm{W}(6)$ | $U^{1}=0.016 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{2}=0.002 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{3}=0.008 \AA^{2}$ | $\varphi_{x}=40^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{y}=70^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{z}=120^{\circ}(3)$ |
|  | $0.0093(6)$ | $0.0066(2)$ | $0.006494(5)$ | $-0.0027(7)$ | $0.0019(1)$ | $-0.00130(3)$ |
|  | $U^{1}=0.012 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{2}=0.005 \AA^{2}$ | $U^{3}=0.005 \AA^{2}$ | $\varphi_{x}=40^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{y}=60^{\circ}$ | $\varphi_{z}=110^{\circ}$ |

meters (Table 4). All the thermal ellipsoids of W are well defined and almost identical, with a long axis located in a plane perpendicular to the main direction of a chain of six $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra. For most of the octahedra, the long axis occurs between two O atoms along a diagonal of the octahedron, the short axis is in the same plane along the other diagonal and the other axis is oriented along the length of the chain. As an example, $\mathrm{W}(1)$ is linked to both a $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedron and a $\mathrm{W}(2) \mathrm{O}_{6}$ octahedron via $\mathrm{O}(1)$ and $\mathrm{O}(6)$, respectively; its thermal ellipsoid has long and short axes, which are approximately directed along $\mathrm{O}(3)-\mathrm{O}(5)$ and $\mathrm{O}(2)-\mathrm{O}(4)$. W(3) is unique in that its ellipsoid has its long axis parallel to the short axis of all the other W atoms in the same octahedral chain. Although this may not be clear from Fig. 10, we note that the $W(3)$ environment is split $4+2$, which suggests that displacement towards an edge of its octahedron occurs; this displacement is towards a face for all the other octahedra. It can also be noted that the orientations of the long axes of the W ellipsoids in a given chain are approximately perpendicular to the long axes in an adjacent chain.

Structural refinements of different members of the $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{2 m}$ series show that, in general, the isotropic thermal parameters, $B(\mathrm{P})$, of the P atoms are low, 0.21 (4) $\AA^{2}$ for $m=6$ or 0.18 (5) $\AA^{2}$ for $m=7$ with rather high standard uncertainties, 0.21 (10) $\AA^{2}$ for $m=4$ or 0.46 (11) and 0.34 (9) $\AA^{2}$ for $m=5$. In the title compound a refinement performed with all the variables results in a $B(\mathrm{P})$ of 0.01 (7) $\AA^{2}$. We have verified that if $B$ has a value such as $0.3 \AA^{2}$, the reliability factor is unchanged and the maximum change in the calculated structure factors is only $4.3 \%$. Moreover, the existence of local intergrowth phenomena between $m=11$ and $m=13 \mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slabs, as observed from the electron microscopy study, may not alter the diffraction much from that in which only $m=12 \mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type stacking occurs.

In this case the P site refined in the calculation should be replaced occasionally in the actual structure
by a W atom. Thus, the thermal factor of P tends to decrease to take this partial electronic density increase into account.

### 3.4. Absolute structural configuration

Since the space group of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}, P 2_{1} 2_{2} 2_{1}$, is enantiomorphous, two non-superimposable structural models are valid; one has coordinates $x, y$ and $z$ and the other coordinates $-x,-y$ and $-z$. These two atomic arrangements are related by an inversion centre (it is easier, in this instance, to imagine that they are an object and its image in a mirror). Let us consider a $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slab as it appears in Fig. 2. Such a slab is built up of parallel chains of six $\mathrm{WO}_{6}$ octahedra with $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra on each side which share three of their apices with three different octahedra of the slab. The remaining apex of the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra connects two adjacent slabs. The polyhedra within a slab are somewhat rigid, but there is some flexibility between adjacent slabs. Two successive slabs are related by $2_{1}$ screw axes running


Fig. 11. Histogram of the interatomic distances. Shaded areas are related to $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra.
parallel to either $\mathbf{b}$ or $\mathbf{c}$, producing the model depicted in Fig. 2. In this model a tilting of all the polyhedra is brought about by the non-centrosymmetric character of the crystal. The enantiomorphic twin of this model can be visualized by considering that the polyhedra tilt in the opposite direction, leading to a model which is the mirror image of the original. Note that the two models can be related through the $\mathrm{PO}_{4}$ tetrahedra, as happens both for $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{4} \mathrm{O}_{20}(m=2)$ and for $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{14} \mathrm{O}_{50}(m=7)$, whose space groups both contain an $n$-glide.

Calculations have been carried out to check the validity of both enantiomorphs using anomalous scattering to determine the correct model. Simply changing $x, y, z$ to $-x,-y,-z$ for all coordinates induces relatively large increases (up to $16 \%$ ) in the calculated structure factors $\left(F_{c}\right)$, thus illustrating the influence of the dispersion terms on the $F_{c}$ values. It was found that 34 reflections have a relative variation $\Delta F_{c} / F_{c, \text { av }}$ greater than 0.05 and for 8 reflections this variation is greater than 0.10 . However, in these cases only reflections of weak intensity are involved. Refinement of the $-x,-y$, $-z$ coordinates results in $R$ factors ( $R=0.0291, w R=$ 0.0275 ) which are only slightly greater than those obtained with refinement using $x, y, z$. The largest values of the relative difference between the calculated structure factor $\left|F_{c 1}-F_{c 2}\right| / F_{c, \text { av }}$ for the same ( $h k l$ ) are listed in Table 5. As the corresponding observed structure factor $F_{o}$ is highly significant for these reflections, the comparison is instructive. Most of the values are better when $x, y, z$ is refined, indicating that the model with the direction of tilting which we originally proposed, rather than its enantiomorphic twin, corresponds to the absolute configuration of the structure of $\mathrm{P}_{4} \mathrm{~W}_{24} \mathrm{O}_{80}$. It should be noted, however, that the existence of a small proportion of the enantiomorphic configuration in the crystal cannot be completely ruled out.

## 4. Conclusions

Although the $m=12$ member of the series $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{2 m}$ is expected to exhibit a commensurate $(0.5,0,0.5)$ modulation below 500 K , the present X-ray structural investigation and electron microscopy study lead us to conclude that crystals with or without charge-density wave (CDW) satellite reflections can be isolated at 300 K . Furthermore, it is shown that in the CDW state, the intensities of the satellite spots can vary from one crystal to another. Regions of a regular intergrowth of $m=11-13$ were identified by electron microscopy.

The detailed structure of the regular form of $m=12$ has been established and compared with that of other low- $m$ members of the series ( $m=4,6,8$ ). One of the main differences with respect to the other members is the fact that the W atoms located at the border of the

Table 5. Calculated structure factors for the two enantiomorphous models: $x y z\left(F_{c 1}\right),-x-y-z\left(F_{c 2}\right)$

| $h k l$ | $F_{o}$ | $F_{c 1}$ | $F_{c 2}$ | $\sigma\left(F_{o}\right)$ | $\Delta F / F_{c}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $65 \overline{5}$ | 32 | 32 | 23 | 5 | 0.33 |
| $51, \overline{2 \overline{1}}$ | 42 | 40 | 35 | 5 | 0.13 |
| $13 \overline{1}$ | 53 | 53 | 47 | 1 | 0.12 |
| $19 \overline{1}$ | 46 | 41 | 37 | 4 | 0.10 |
| $15 \overline{9}$ | 34 | 31 | 28 | 3 | 0.10 |
| $41 \overline{6}$ | 68 | 72 | 65 | 2 | 0.10 |
| $14 \overline{9}$ | 31 | 28 | 31 | 3 | 0.10 |

The greatest values of $\Delta F / F_{c}=\left(\left|F_{c 1}-F_{c 2}\right|\right) / \bar{F}_{c}$ are listed according to descending order. For the first five lines, $F_{c 1}$ compared with $F_{c}$ is more probable than $F_{c 2}$.
$\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type slabs are more displaced from the centre of their octahedra in $m=12$. These displacements are at a similar distance to those found in the three polymorphs of tungsten trioxide $\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)$, which in the latter induce well known antiferroelectric (AFE) behaviour. According to Ottolenghi \& Pouget (1996), the occurrence of such a $\mathrm{WO}_{3}$-type AFE instability interacting with a CDW instability in the high- $m$ members $(8<m \leq$ 13) could be at the origin of a strengthening of electron localization effects and could thus explain the increase of the Peierls transition temperatures with increasing $m$. However, structure refinements of other high- $m$ members of the $\left(\mathrm{PO}_{2}\right)_{4}\left(\mathrm{WO}_{3}\right)_{2 m}$ series and, more particularly, of the modulated $m=12$ single phase are needed to verify this hypothesis.
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[^0]:    $\dagger$ A list of structure factors has been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: LC0001). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

